28

28

As stated in prior briefing to this court, and in oral discussions at the pre-trial conference, Mitchell believes that a 1983 claim, based on a Fourth Amendment violation is established by proving only two elements. While under different facts, the elements for a 1983 claim may expand, those factual elements do not exist with this case. First, in this case it is established that Voss and Henikman perpetrated an arrest of Mitchell. Second, it is established that Voss and Henikman did not have probable cause to arrest Mitchell. The lack of probable cause is significant, and has never been in dispute. Likewise, it is significant that this court has rule that an arrest occurred, not an investigatory stop.

Consequently, Mitchell has gathered a selection of case that clearly establish that an arrest without probable cause violates the Fourth Amendment's reasonableness requirement.

- U.S. v. Dunaway, 442 U.S. 200 (1979) This Supreme Court case has 1) been cited over 7,000 times for the principle that a "seizure" occurs when a person is arrested, and that a "seizure" without probable cause violates the Fourth Amendment.
- 2) Hayes v. Florida 470 U.S. 811 (1985) – This Supreme Court case has been likewise cited consistently. This case held that a restraint, amounting to an arrest (i.e. resembling in key mannerisms an arrest) if done without probable cause is a violation of Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure.
- U.S. v. Ricardo, 912 F.2d 337 (9th Cir. 1990) This Ninth Circuit 3)

24

25

26

2728

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on June 27, 2008 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF

Cas	se 3:05-cv-00273-JWS Do	cument 127	Filed 06/27/2008	Page 4 of 4
1 2	system which sent notification to the following:			
3	James Reeves; and Elizabeth Friedman			
4	and I hereby certify that I have mailed by			
5	United States Postal Service the document to the following non CM/ECF participants:			
6	none.			
7				
8	Dated this 27 <sup>th</sup> day of June 2008, at Anchorage, Alaska.			
9	S/ Isaac Zorea Law Offices of Isaac D Zorea P.O. Box 210434 Anchorage, AK 99521 907-830-1385 907-677-3779 Eyedz@gci.net			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	PLAINTIFF'S CASE BRIEFING: MITCH	ELL V. MOA, ET AL		PAGE - 4 -
			-	